| UNITED NATIONS | MIC1-13-33 08-04-2015 (439 - 437) | | 439 ZS |
|-------------------|--|-----------|---------------|
| | | Case No: | MICT-13-33 |
| | Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals | Date: | 08 April 2015 |
| | | Original: | English |

THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Before:

JudgeVagn Joensen

Registrar:

Mr. John Hocking

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

JEAN DE DIEU KAMUHANDA

PROSECUTOR'S RESPONSE TO KAMUHANDA'S REQUEST FOR ACCESS

Office of the Prosecutor

Hassan Bubacar Jallow Richard Karegyesa Sunkarie Ballah-Conteh Jean De Dieu Kamuhanda Mr. Peter Robinson

Received by the Registry Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals 08/04/2015 15:10

Anomoreat

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On 30 March 2015, Jean De Dieu Kamuhanda filed a request for access to confidential material in his case file for his attorney, Mr. Peter Robinson (Motion for access). The Applicant states in his motion that he retained Peter Robinson as his attorney, on 10 March 2015, for the purpose of seeking review before the Mechanism of his ICTR conviction of 22 January 2004,¹ which was affirmed by the ICTR Appeals Chamber on 19 September 2005.²

2. The Prosecutor hereby files his response.

II. SUBMISSIONS

3. The Prosecutor submits that Peter Robinson was not privy to the Kamuhanda proceedings "the first proceedings", which ended on 19 September 2005 with the Appeals Chamber judgement. Since his purported appointment of new Counsel to pursue a Review is a new undertaking for the initiation of new proceedings before the Mechanism, the Applicant is required to seek variation of the protective measures that were granted in the first proceedings in order for Counsel to access the confidential material from that case.

4. Moreover, much like in the *Milosevic case*, Counsel has been retained for the limited purpose of establishing whether sufficient grounds exist to initiate a review of the Applicant's case.³ There is no indication in the application, that counsel's appointment is anything other than temporary given its limited purpose.⁴ In this regard, as in the *Milosevic case*, the Applicant is required to seek a variation of the protective measures ordered in the first proceedings before access can be granted.⁵

5. Rule 86(f) of the Mechanism Rules of Procedure and Evidence provides that protective measures ordered in any proceedings before the ICTY, ICTR or the Mechanism, apply *mutatis mutandis* in any other proceedings before the Mechanism ("second proceedings"), unless they are rescinded, varied or augmented

¹Prosecutor v. Jean De Dieu Kamuhanda, Case No. ICTR-95-54A-T, Judgement, 22 January 2004.

²Prosecutor v. Jean De Dieu Kamuhanda, Case No. ICTR-95-54A-A, Appeals Judgement, 19 September 2005. ³Prosecutor v. Dragomir Milosevic, Case No. IT-98-29/1-A, Decision on Motion Seeking Variation of Protective Measures Pursuant to Rule 75(G), para. 12.

⁴Prosecutor v. Dragomir Milosevic, Case No. IT-98-29/1-A, Decision on Motion Seeking Variation of Protective Measures Pursuant to Rule 75(G), paras. 2, 3, 7 and 12.

⁵Prosecutor v. Dragomir Milosevic, Case No. IT-98-29/1-A, Decision on Motion Seeking Variation of Protective Measures Pursuant to Rule 75(G).

in accordance with the Rules. Furthermore, a party seeking variation of these protective measures is required to establish that there is a legitimate forensic purpose for the access.⁶

6. The Applicant states in his motion that his counsel requires access to the confidential material from his ICTR case in order to determine whether new information obtained meets the requirements of a "new fact" warranting review.⁷ The Prosecutor notes that the Applicant has demonstrated that there is a legitimate forensic purpose for the access.⁸ Therefore, provided that Mr. Robinson furnishes the Single Judge with the power of attorney appointing him as Counsel for Kamuhanda, confirmation that he is on the Mechanism's list of counsel and that he has signed an undertaking with the Mechanism agreeing to respect the confidentiality of any disclosures made to him, the Prosecutor does not oppose a variation of the protective measures in force granting him access to *inter partes* material in his client's ICTR case file.

Dated at Arusha this 8th day of April 2015

Richard Karegyesa Senior Legal Officer

Sunkarie Ballah-Conteh Legal Advisor

⁶Bagosora v. Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-98-41-A, Decision on Augustin Ngirabatware's Motion for Disclosure of Confidential Material Relating to Witness DBN, 8 June 2010, paras. 10-12.

⁷ The Prosecutor v Jean De Dieu Kamuhanda, Case No. MICT-13-33, Request for Access, 30 March 2015, paras. 13-14.

⁸ Ibid.



TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH THE MECHANISM FOR INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS/ FICHE DE TRANSMISSION POUR LE DÉPÔT DE DOCUMENTS DEVANT LE MÉCANISME POUR LES TRIBUNAUX PÉNAUX INTERNATIONAUX

I - FILING INFORMATION / INFORMATIONS GÉNÉRALES

| To/ À : | MICT Registry/ Grei | fe du MTPI | Arusha/ Arusha | The Hague/ La Haye | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| From/ De : | Chambers/ Chambre | Defence/ Défense | ☑ Prosecution Bureau du Pro Sunkarie B. C | ocureur | |
| Case Name/ Affaire : | Prosecutor v. Jean De Dieu Kamuhanda Case Number/ MICT-13-33 Affaire nº : | | | | |
| Date Created/ Daté du : | 8 April 2015 | Date transmitted/ <i>Transmis le</i> : | 8 April 2015 | No. of Pages/ 3 Nombre de pages : | |
| Original Language / <i>Langue de l'original</i> : | English/ | French/ 🗌 Kinya <i>Français</i> | rwanda 🗌 B/C/S | ☐ Other/ <i>Autre</i> (specify/ <i>préciser</i>) : | |
| Title of Document/ <i>Titre du document</i> : | Prosecution Response to Kamuhanda's Request for Access | | | | |
| Classification Level/ Catégories de classification : | ☑ Unclassified/ □ Ex Parte Defence excluded/ Défense exclue Non classifié □ Ex Parte Prosecution excluded/ Bureau du Procureur exclu □ Confidential/ □ Ex Parte R86(H) applicant excluded/ Art. 86 H) requérant exclu □ Confidentiel □ Ex Parte Amicus Curiae excluded/ Amicus curiae exclu □ Strictly Confidential/ □ Ex Parte other exclusion/ autre(s) partie(s) exclue(s) Strictement confidentiel □ (specify/préciser) : | | | | |
| Document type/ <i>Type de document</i> : | Motion/ Requête Decision/ Décision Order/ Ordonnance | Submission from Écritures déposées Submission from Écritures déposées Book of Authoritie Recueil de sources | bar des parties non-parties/ par des tiers | Indictment/ Acte d'accusation Warrant/ Mandat Notice of Appeal/ Acte d'appel | |
| | Judgement/ Jugement/Arrêt | ☐ Affidavit/ Déclaration sous serment | | | |
| II - TRANSLATION STATUS ON THE FILING DATE/ ÉTAT DE LA TRADUCTION AU JOUR DU DÉPÔT | | | | | |
| Translation not required/ La traduction n'est pas requise | | | | | |
| Filing Party hereby submits only the original, and requests the Registry to translate/ La partie déposante ne soumet que l'original et sollicite que le Greffe prenne en charge la traduction : (Word version of the document is attached/ La version Word est jointe) | | | | | |
| English/ Anglais | ⊠ Frenc <i>Franç</i> | | | Other/Autre (specify/préciser) : | |
| Filing Party hereby submits both the original and the translated version for filing, as follows/ La partie déposante soumet l'original et la version traduite aux fins de dépôt, comme suit : | | | | | |
| Original enATranslation/ | nglish/ | cais ch/ | da 🗌 B/C/S | ☐ Other/ <i>Autre</i> (specify/ <i>préciser</i>) : ☐ Other/ <i>Autre</i> | |
| Traduction en Anglais Français (specify/préciser) : Filing Party will be submitting the translated version(s) in due course in the following language(s)/ | | | | | |
| La partie déposante sou | mettra la (les) version Frenc Français | h/ 🗌 Kinyarwan | da 🗌 B/C/S | e(s) suivante(s) : □ Other/Autre (specify/préciser) : | |

Send completed transmission sheet to/ Veuillez soumettre cette fiche dûment remplie à : JudicialFilingsArusha@un.org OR/OU JudicialFilingsHague@un.org

Rev: April 2014/Rév. : Avril 2014