MICT-13-33
11-08-2015
(538 - 536)

UNITED NATIONS

2

4

Case No:	MICT-13-33



Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals Date:

11 August 2015

Original: English

SINGLE JUDGE

Before:

Judge Vagn Joensen

Registrar:

Mr. John Hocking

The Prosecutor

v.

Jean de Dieu Kamuhanda

PROSECUTION RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR APPOINMENT OF AMICUS CURIAE PROSECUTOR TO INVESTIGATE PROSECUTION WITNESS GEK

Office of the Prosecutor Hassan Bubacar Jallow Richard Karegyesa Sunkarie Ballah-Conteh Jean de Dieu Kamuhanda Peter Robinson, Counsel

Received by the Registry Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals 11/08/2015 15:31

538 ZS

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On 3 August 2015, Jean de Dieu Kamuhanda filed his *Motion for Appointment of Amicus Curiae to Investigate Prosecution Witness GEK for False Testimony* (the Application). Kamuhanda requests the Single Judge, pursuant to Rule 108(B), to order that an *amicus curiae* prosecutor be appointed to carry out an investigation against Prosecution Witness GEK for giving 'false testimony' during Kamuhanda's trial.

2. The application is based on the grounds: (i) that the Prosecutor failed to investigate allegations that Tribunal employees attempted to interfere with GEK's testimony in Kamuhanda¹ and, (ii) that there are strong grounds for believing that GEK gave false testimony when she appeared before the Appeals Chamber on 19 May 2005.²

3. In sum, Kamuhanda requests the Single Judge to set aside the Appeals Chamber Order of 19 May 2005,³ and substitute it with an Order appointing *amicus curiae* to investigate allegations against GEK.

 The Prosecutor submits that Kamuhanda's application should not be entertained by the Single Judge for want of jurisdiction.

II. SUBMISSIONS

5. *First*, Kamuhanda relies on Rule 108(B) which, however, cannot be construed to confer upon the Single Judge the power to set aside an order of the Appeals Chamber. A plain and ordinary reading of Rule 108(B), construed in the context of Part Six of the MICT Rules of Procedure and Evidence, clearly limits its application to the initiation of appropriate action in the course of proceedings before the Trial Chambers and does not extend to matters already initiated by the Appeals Chamber. Kamuhanda cites no other legal basis for a Single Judge's power to vary or otherwise set aside a decision and order of the Appeals Chamber, with the result that his application should fail for want of jurisdiction.⁴

¹ Prosecutor v. Jean de Dieu Kamuhanda, Case No. MICT-13-33, Motion for Appointment of Amicus Curiae Prosecutor to Investigate GEK, 3 August 2015, paras. 9-11.

²*Ibid* para. 15-16.

³Jean de Dieu Kamuhanda v. the Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-99-54-A, T. 19 May 2005, p.49-51.

⁴ See *Prosecutor v Zlatko Aleksovski*, IT-95-14/1-A, Appeal Judgement, 24 March 2000, para 113 for the proposition that decisions of the Appeals Chamber are binding on Trial Chambers.

6. Second, Kamuhanda's application is an impermissible attempt to circumvent a previous decision of the Appeals Chamber dismissing his request for replacement of the Prosecutor in investigating the allegations of witness tampering and false testimony,⁵ while advancing grounds that were equally found to be without merit by the Appeals Chamber in his request for review.⁶

7. In both instances the Appeals Chamber reiterated that, when it directed the Prosecutor to investigate allegations of witness tampering and false testimony during the Kamuhanda Rule 115 appeals hearing, it expressly left it to the Prosecutor's discretion to take the eventual steps and measures which he deemed necessary and appropriate under the circumstances.⁷ On both occasions the Appeals Chamber declined to question the exercise of prosecutorial discretion.

8. For the reasons outlined above the Prosecutor submits that the Single Judge lacks the jurisdiction to set aside the decision and order of the Appeals Chamber and should summarily dismiss the extant application.

Word Count: 784

Dated at Arusha this 11th day of August 2015

Richard Karegyesa Senior Legal Officer

Sunkarie Ballah-Conteh Legal Advisor

3

⁵ Prosecutor v. Jean de Dieu Kamuhanda, Case No.ICTR-99-54A-A, Decision on Jean de Dieu Kamuhanda's Request Related to Prosecution Disclosure and Special Investigation, 7 April 2006, para.7. Nowhere in the extant application does Kamuhanda even acknowledge this decision or his *Conclusions en Replique a la Requete du Procureur le Fondement de l'Article 75 F* of 13 March 2006, pp 3 and 4 where he had requested the replacement of the Prosecutor with an independent investigator.

⁶ Jean de Dieu Kamuhanda v. the Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-99-54A-R, Decision on Request for Review, 25 August 2011, paras 45-55. Kamuhanda deliberately refuses in the extant application to acknowledge that the purported "new facts" he relies upon, arising from the *Rwamakuba* and *Nshogoza* cases, were considered by the Appeals Chamber and found to be without merit. Similarly, the Appeals Chamber in its Oral Decision of 19 May 2005 rejected Kamuhanda's motion to call the two "Tribunal employees" as sur-rebuttal witnesses against GEK: See Kamuhanda T. 19 May 2005 p28 and pp49-50.

⁷ Ibid, Decision on Request for Review, para 65; See also fn 3 and 4 supra



English/

English/

Anglais

Anglais

Original/

Original en

Translation/

Traduction en

English/ Anglais

French/

French/

French/

Français

Français

Français

Filing Party will be submitting the translated version(s) in due course in the following language(s)/ La partie déposante soumettra la (les) version(s) traduite(s) sous peu, dans la (les) langue(s) suivante(s) :

TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH THE MECHANISM FOR INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS/ FICHE DE TRANSMISSION POUR LE DÉPÔT DE DOCUMENTS DEVANT LE MÉCANISME POUR LES TRIBUNAUX PÉNAUX INTERNATIONAUX

To/ À :	MICT Registry/ Greffe du MTPI		Arusha/ Arusha	a 🗌 The Hague/ La Haye
From/ De :	Chambers/ Chambre	Defence/ Défense	Prosecuti Bureau du P Richard Kar	rocureur
Case Name/ Affaire :	Prosecutor v. Jea	n De Dieu Kamuhanda	Case No Affaire	
Date Created/ Daté du :	11 August 2015	Date transmitted/ Transmis le :	11 August 2015	No. of Pages/ 3 Nombre de pages :
Original Language / Langue de l'original :	English/ [Anglais	French/ Kinya Français	arwanda 🗌 B/C/3	S Other/Autre (specify/préciser) :
Title of Document/ <i>Titre du document</i> :		ponse to Motion for A ecution Witness GEK	ppointment of Ami	cus Curiae Prosecutor to
Classification Level/ Catégories de classification :	Image: Strain			
	Strictly Confide Strictement confide	lentiel (specify/préc	iser) :	e(s) partie(s) exclue(s)
Document type/ Type de document :	Motion/ Requête			Indictment/ Acte d'accusation
	Decision/			☐ Warrant/ Mandat
	Order/ Ordonnance	Book of Authoriti Recueil de sources	es/	Notice of Appeal/ Acte d'appel
	Judgement/ Jugement/Arrêt	Affidavit/ Déclaration sous se	rment	A Real Property in the second s
II - TRANSLAT	TION STATUS ON	THE FILING DATE/	ÉTAT DE LA TRAD	UCTION AU JOUR DU DÉPÔT
Translation not requi	ired/ La traduction n	'est pas requise		
⊠Filing Party hereby se La partie déposante ne (Word version of the do	soumet que l'origina	al et sollicite que le Gref	fe prenne en charge	la traduction :
English/ Anglais	🛛 Fre		nda 🗌 B/C/S	Other/Autre
	and the second sec	nçais		(specify/préciser) :
Filing Party hereby s La partie déposante sou				

Kinyarwanda

Kinyarwanda

Kinyarwanda

Send completed transmission sheet to/ Veuillez soumettre cette fiche dûment remplie à : <u>IudicialFilingsArusha@un.org</u> OR/OU <u>IudicialFilingsHague@un.org</u>

B/C/S

B/C/S

B/C/S

Other/Autre

Other/Autre

Other/Autre

(specify/préciser) :

(specify/préciser) :

(specify/préciser) :